

A 3-LOCAL CHARACTERIZATION OF M_{12} AND $SL_3(3)$

SARAH ASTILL AND CHRIS PARKER

ABSTRACT. We identify the sporadic simple group M_{12} and the simple group $SL_3(3)$ from some part of their 3-local structure and give a graph theoretic analogue of the resulting theorem.

Let $G_1 = SL_3(3)$ and set $A_1 = \text{Stab}_{G_1}(\langle(1, 0, 0)\rangle)$, $B_1 = \text{Stab}_{G_1}(\langle(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\rangle)$ and $C_1 = A_1 \cap B_1$. Then $A_1 \cong B_1 \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$ and $C_1 = N_{G_1}(Z(S_1))$ where $S_1 \in \text{Syl}_3(C_1)$. Now consider $G_2 = M_{12}$, the Mathieu group of degree 12, acting on the Steiner system $\mathcal{S} = S(12, 6, 5)$ of $\Omega = \{1, \dots, 12\}$. A linked three is a partition of Ω into four subsets of size three such that the union of any two of the subsets is a hexad in $\mathcal{S}$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is a linked three and $\mathbf{t}$ is a subset from $\mathcal{T}$. Let $A_2 = \text{Stab}_{G_2}(\mathbf{t})$, $B_2 = \text{Stab}_{G_2}(\mathcal{T})$ and $C_2 = A_2 \cap B_2$. Then $A_2 \cong B_2 \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$ and $C_2 = N_{G_2}(Z(S_2))$ where $S_2 \in \text{Syl}_3(C_2)$. Thus from the perspective of the normalizers of 3-subgroups the simple group $SL_3(3)$ and the sporadic simple group M_{12} are indistinguishable. Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group, $A, B \leq G$, $A \neq B$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_3(A \cap B)$. Assume that $G = \langle A, B \rangle$ and $A \cong B \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$. If $N_G(Z(S)) \leq A$, then $G \cong SL_3(3)$ or $G \cong M_{12}$.*

Our proof of Theorem A is relatively elementary. It uses a mixture of local group theoretic methods, character theory in the guise of a theorem of Feit and Thompson and a coset enumeration package. Of course our proof does not use the classification of finite simple groups. We expect that the result will be useful in the ongoing project to understand the groups of local characteristic p [11]. As is often the case in p -local characterizations for odd primes p , our proof is centered around the determination of the structure of an involution centralizer. Let G, A, B, C and S be as in the statement of the theorem and let $t \in A$ be an involution. Then it turns out that $C_G(t)$ has Sylow 3-subgroups of order three. This means that local group theoretic methods cannot be used to restrict the structure of $C_G(t)$. However, we can show that the Sylow 3-subgroup of $C_G(t)/\langle t \rangle$ is self-centralizing. The structure of finite groups with a self-centralizing subgroup of order three have been described by Feit and Thompson in [6]. In fact a generalization of the Feit–Thompson Theorem by Mazurov [10] would allow us to strengthen the theorem to allow for, not necessarily finite, groups G for which $\langle Z(S), Z(S)^g \rangle$ is finite for any $g \in G$. A lemma originally due to Burnside (see Lemma 1) provides us with a list of possible group relations satisfied by certain commutators of elements in $C_G(t)$. By considering amalgams and using the Goldschmidt Lemma we give a presentation for an infinite group F which has any group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem A as a quotient. We then create quotient groups of F defined by the possible group relations given by the Burnside Lemma. Somewhat miraculously just two of these groups are non-trivial and each has finite order. Moreover the group orders coincide with the orders of our target groups. At this stage we conclude that Theorem A is true.

We emphasize that the Feit–Thompson Theorem only applies when we have a self-centralizing subgroup of order three. Thus the techniques used here do not apply to a similar set-up where $A \cong B \cong \text{AGL}_2(p)$ for odd primes $p > 3$. On the other hand, in [12] groups G generated by subgroups $A \cong B \cong \text{AGL}_2(p^a)$ and $a \geq 2$ and satisfying $N_G(Z(S)) = A \cap B$ where $S \in \text{Syl}_3(A \cap B)$ are shown to be isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_3(p^a)$.

In the final section of the paper we prove a graph theoretic analogue to our main result. Let Γ_1 be the graph whose vertices are the thirteen points together with the thirteen lines in the projective plane of order three with a point adjacent only to those lines containing it. Suppose that $\mathcal{S} = S(12, 6, 5)$ is the Steiner system on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, 12\}$ mentioned above. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of subsets of Ω of size three and $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of linked threes determined by $\mathcal{S}$. Define Γ_2 to be the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{L}$ and edge set $\{\{\{a, b, c, d\}, a\} \mid \{a, b, c, d\} \in \mathcal{L}, a \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Obviously, $\text{PSL}_3(3) \cong \text{SL}_3(3)$ acts as a group of automorphisms of Γ_1 and M_{12} acts as a group of automorphisms of Γ_2 . In both cases the action is transitive on the edges and not on vertices.

Theorem B. *Suppose Γ is a finite, connected graph and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with $G_\alpha = \text{Stab}_G(\alpha) \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$ for each vertex α . Suppose further that G is edge transitive, has two orbits on the set of vertices and that for some vertex α and each non-trivial $z \in O_3(G_\alpha)$ the subgraph of Γ fixed by $\langle z \rangle$ is a tree which contains at least one edge. Then $G \cong \text{PSL}_3(3)$ or $G \cong M_{12}$ and Γ is isomorphic to Γ_1 or Γ_2 respectively.*

We remark that in principle the coset enumerations in this paper can be carried out by hand. This would of course make Theorem A independent from computer calculations.

Finally we mention that notation for groups is standard as in [1] and [5]. In particular, for a group G and a set of primes π we denote $O_\pi(G)$ to be the largest normal subgroup of G which is a π -group.

Acknowledgement. The first author acknowledges financial support from EPSRC in the form of a postgraduate scholarship.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we present Burnside’s Lemma and state the Feit–Thompson Theorem mentioned in the introduction. We also present an elementary lemma about $\text{AGL}_2(3)$.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group and let $a, b, c \in G$. The following identities hold.*

- (i) $[a, bc] = [a, c][a, b]^c$ and $[ab, c] = [a, c]^b[b, c]$.
- (ii) *If p is a prime and if G is a p -group, then $[[a, b], c][[b, c], a][[c, a], b] \in [G, G, G, G]$.*

Proof. The identities in (i) are easy to check. Part (ii) is Lemma 5.6.1 (iv) in [8, p. 209]. $\square$

The following lemma is well-known and dates back to Burnside. It can be found in his book [4, p. 90–91]. We present a detailed proof of the result since we want to record a certain identity which will be of major importance to us in the proof of Theorem A. Our proof is modelled on one that can be found in [9, Theorem 8.1] which is unpublished. We will use the notation $a \equiv b \pmod H$ when $Ha = Hb$ where H is a subgroup of a group G and $a, b \in G$.

Lemma 2 (Burnside). *Suppose that p is a prime and Q is a p -group. Assume that $\zeta \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ has order three and $C_Q(\zeta) = 1$. Then Q has class at most two and for all $v, w \in Q$,*

$$[v, w^\zeta] = [v^\zeta, w] = [v, w]^{\zeta^2}.$$

Proof. Let $Q = Q_1 \geq Q_2 \geq \dots \geq Q_n = 1$ be the lower central series of Q . Let $i, j \geq 1$ and let $x \in Q_i$ and $y \in Q_j$. Then $xx^\zeta x^{\zeta^2}$ is centralized modulo Q_{i+1} so

$$xx^\zeta x^{\zeta^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_{i+1}}$$

and

$$[xx^\zeta x^{\zeta^2}, y] \in [Q_{i+1}, Q_j] \leq Q_{i+j+1}.$$

Since Q_{i+j}/Q_{i+j+1} is central in Q/Q_{i+j+1} , we may use the commutator relations from Lemma 1 (i) to get $[x, y][x^\zeta, y][x^{\zeta^2}, y] \equiv [xx^\zeta x^{\zeta^2}, y] \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$ and so $[x, y] \equiv [y, x^\zeta][y, x^{\zeta^2}] \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$. Now swapping the roles of x and y gives $[x, y] \equiv [x, y^\zeta][x, y^{\zeta^2}] \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$. Therefore

$$[x^{\zeta^2}, y][y^{\zeta^2}, x] \equiv [x, y^\zeta][y, x^\zeta] \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$$

and is thus centralized by ζ . Hence we have $[x, y^\zeta] \equiv [x^\zeta, y] \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$. If we replace x by x^{ζ^2} and y by y^{ζ^2} , we get $[x^\zeta, y] \equiv [x, y]^{\zeta^2} \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}$. Thus

$$(1) \quad [x, y^\zeta] \equiv [x^\zeta, y] \equiv [x, y]^{\zeta^2} \pmod{Q_{i+j+1}}.$$

In particular, for all $d, e, f \in Q$, we have

$$(2) \quad [d, e^\zeta] \equiv [d^\zeta, e] \equiv [d, e]^{\zeta^2} \pmod{Q_3}$$

and

$$(3) \quad [[d, e], f^{\zeta^2}] \equiv [[d, e]^\zeta, f^\zeta] \equiv [[d, e]^{\zeta^2}, f] \equiv [[d^\zeta, e], f] \equiv [[d, e^\zeta], f] \pmod{Q_4}.$$

Now let $a, b, c \in Q$. Then Lemma 1 (ii) gives

$$(4) \quad [[a, b], c][[b, c], a][[c, a], b] \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_4}$$

and

$$(5) \quad [[a^\zeta, b], c][[b, c], a^\zeta][[c, a^\zeta], b] \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_4}.$$

Conjugating (4) by ζ and applying (3) gives

$$[[a^\zeta, b], c][[b, c], a^{\zeta^2}][[c, a^\zeta], b] \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_4}$$

which together with (5) gives

$$[[b, c], a^{\zeta^2}] \equiv [[b, c], a^\zeta] \pmod{Q_4}.$$

Finally, (1) gives us that $[[b, c], a^\zeta] \equiv [[b, c], a]^{\zeta^2} \pmod{Q_4}$ and so using (1) we get

$$[[b, c], a]^\zeta \equiv [[b, c], a^{\zeta^2}] \equiv [[b, c], a^\zeta] \equiv [[b, c], a]^{\zeta^2} \pmod{Q_4}$$

is fixed by $\zeta \pmod{Q_4}$. Therefore, $[[b, c], a] \in Q_4$ for all $a, b, c \in Q$. Thus $Q_3 \leq Q_4 \leq Q_3$ and we conclude that $Q_3 = 1$. In particular, (2) now implies $[v, w^\zeta] = [v^\zeta, w] = [v, w]^{\zeta^2}$ for all $v, w \in Q$ and this concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

The following theorem by Feit and Thompson describing groups with a self-centralizing element of order three can be used to provide a setting in which to apply our Burnside lemma.

Theorem 3 (Feit–Thompson). *Let G be a finite group containing a subgroup, X , of order three such that $C_G(X) = X$. Then one of the following hold.*

- (i) G contains a nilpotent normal subgroup, N , such that G/N is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(3)$ or C_3 .
- (ii) G contains a normal 2-subgroup, N , such that $G/N \cong \text{Alt}(5)$.
- (iii) G is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(7)$.

Notice that Burnside’s Lemma implies that the subgroup N in parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3 is nilpotent of class at most two. In fact, an often used result of Higman [9, Theorem 8.2] shows that the subgroup N in part (iii) is abelian.

We now list some elementary facts about groups isomorphic to $\text{AGL}_2(3)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $X \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$ and $Z = N_X(S)$ where $S \in \text{Syl}_3(X)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $O_3(X)$ is elementary abelian of order 9, is the unique non-trivial normal 3-subgroup of X , is self-centralizing in X and $X/O_3(X) \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$ acts transitively on the non-trivial elements of $O_3(X)$;
- (ii) if $t \in X$ is an involution with $O_3(X)t \in Z(X/O_3(X))$, then $C_X(t)$ is a complement to $O_3(X)$ in X and, in particular, $C_X(t) \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$;
- (iii) S is extraspecial of order 27 and exponent 3 and $C_Z(S) = C_X(S) = Z(S)$ is cyclic of order 3;
- (iv) $Z = N_X(Z(S))$ has index 4 in X and is a maximal subgroup of X ; and
- (v) $\text{Inn}(Z) \cong Z$, $|\text{Aut}(Z)/\text{Inn}(Z)| = 2$, $\text{Aut}(X) = \text{Inn}(X) \cong X$ and in particular, $N_{\text{Aut}(X)}(Z) \cong \text{Inn}(Z) \cong Z$.

Proof. These can be easily verified. See [2] for their proofs. □

2. THE AMALGAM

An amalgam (of rank 2) is a quintuple $(X, Y, Z, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ consisting of three groups X , Y and Z and two monomorphisms $\phi_1 : Z \rightarrow X$ and $\phi_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_1 = (X_1, Y_1, Z_1, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = (X_2, Y_2, Z_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ are amalgams. Then $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ have the same weak type provided there exist isomorphisms $\alpha : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, $\beta : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $\gamma : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$. If, additionally, it can be arranged that $\text{Im}(\phi_1\alpha) = \text{Im}(\gamma\theta_1)$ and $\text{Im}(\phi_2\beta) = \text{Im}(\gamma\theta_2)$, then we say that $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ have the same type. Finally, we say that $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are isomorphic if and only if $\phi_1\alpha = \gamma\theta_1$ and $\phi_2\beta = \gamma\theta_2$. A (faithful) completion (H, ψ_1, ψ_2) of the amalgam $\mathcal{A} = (X, Y, Z, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ is a group H and two monomorphisms $\psi_1 : X \rightarrow H$ and $\psi_2 : Y \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi_1\psi_1 = \phi_2\psi_2$ and $H = \langle X\psi_1, Y\psi_2 \rangle$. A completion (F, Ψ_1, Ψ_2) of $\mathcal{A}$ is universal if given any other completion (H, ψ_1, ψ_2) there is a unique homomorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow H$ such that $\Psi_i\pi = \psi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ (notice that π therefore maps the images of X , Y and Z in F to the images of X , Y and Z in H). If G is a group and if there exists monomorphisms $\psi_1 : X \rightarrow G$ and $\psi_2 : Y \rightarrow G$ such that (G, ψ_1, ψ_2) is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$, then we shall also say that the

group G is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$. If, in fact, (G, ψ_1, ψ_2) is a universal completion then we say that G is a universal completion. The definition of the universal completion implies that any completion of $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of the universal completion of $\mathcal{A}$ and that the universal completion is itself unique up to isomorphism. It is easy to check that isomorphic amalgams have isomorphic universal completions. It is well-known (see [13, Theorem 1, p.3]) that the universal completion of $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the free product of X and Y factored by the normal subgroup generated by the set $\{(z\phi_1)(z\phi_2)^{-1} \mid z \in Z\}$.

The typical way that amalgams and completions arise is as follows. Let H be a group with a tuple of subgroups (X, Y, Z) such that $Z \leq X$, $Z \leq Y$ and $H = \langle X, Y \rangle$. Then taking $\iota_1 : Z \rightarrow X$, $\iota_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$, $\iota_3 : X \rightarrow H$ and $\iota_4 : Y \rightarrow H$ to be inclusion maps, we get an amalgam $\mathcal{A} = (X, Y, Z, \iota_1, \iota_2)$ which is of weak type (X, Y, Z) as well as a completion (H, ι_3, ι_4) of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus in this case H is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$.

A fundamental result of Goldschmidt provides a method for calculating the number of isomorphism classes of an amalgam of a given type. We first need a definition. Suppose that $H \leq K$. Then $\text{Aut}(K, H) = N_{\text{Aut}(K)}(H)/C_{\text{Aut}(K)}(H)$ identified as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$.

Lemma 5 (Goldschmidt Lemma). *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (X, Y, Z, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ is an amalgam and define*

$$A_X = \{\phi_1 \alpha \phi_1^{-1} \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(X, \phi_1(Z))\}$$

and

$$A_Y = \{\phi_2 \beta \phi_2^{-1} \mid \beta \in \text{Aut}(Y, \phi_2(Z))\}.$$

Then there is a one to one correspondence between (A_X, A_Y) -double cosets in $\text{Aut}(Z)$ and isomorphism classes of amalgams of the same type as $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. See [7, (2.7)]. □

We now introduce two amalgams which are important in the proof of Theorem A. Let $H \cong SL_3(3)$ and set $X = \text{Stab}_H(\langle (1, 0, 0) \rangle)$, $Y = \text{Stab}_H(\langle (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \rangle)$ and $Z = X \cap Y$. Notice that $Z = N_X(S)$ for $S \in \text{Syl}_3(Z) \subseteq \text{Syl}_3(X)$. Take $\iota_1 : Z \rightarrow X$, $\iota_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$, $\iota_3 : X \rightarrow H$ and $\iota_4 : Y \rightarrow H$ to be inclusions, and define

$$\mathcal{A} = (X, Y, Z, \iota_1, \iota_2).$$

Then (H, ι_3, ι_4) is a completion of the amalgam $\mathcal{A}$.

Now take $H' = \text{AGL}_2(3)$ and let $S' \in \text{Syl}_3(H')$. Set $Z' = N_{H'}(S')$ and let $X' = Y' = H'$. Taking $\rho_1 : Z' \rightarrow X'$, $\rho_2 : Z' \rightarrow Y'$, $\rho_3 : X' \rightarrow H'$ and $\rho_4 : Y' \rightarrow H'$ as inclusions, we have an amalgam

$$\mathcal{A}' = (X', Y', Z', \rho_1, \rho_2)$$

with a completion (H', ρ_3, ρ_4) .

Lemma 6. *The amalgams $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ have the same type but are not isomorphic. If a group L is a completion of $\mathcal{A}'$ then $O_3(L) \neq 1$.*

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ have the same weak type. Furthermore, as the images of Z and Z' are uniquely determined up to conjugacy in X and Y and X' and Y' respectively, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ have the same type. Suppose that (L, ψ_1, ψ_2) is a completion of $\mathcal{A}'$. In H' we

have $1 \neq Q = O_3(X'\rho_3) = O_3(Y'\rho_4)$. Set $R = Q\rho_3^{-1}\rho_1^{-1} = Q\rho_4^{-1}\rho_2^{-1}$. Then $R\rho_1 \trianglelefteq X'$ and $R\rho_2 \trianglelefteq Y'$. Therefore $R\rho_1\psi_1 \trianglelefteq X'\psi_1$ and $R\rho_2\psi_2 \trianglelefteq Y'\psi_2$. As L is a completion of $\mathcal{A}'$, we have $1 \neq R\rho_1\psi_1 = R\rho_2\psi_2$ is normal in $L = \langle X'\psi_1, Y'\psi_2 \rangle$. Thus every completion of $\mathcal{A}'$ contains a non-trivial normal 3-subgroup. In particular, since $\mathrm{SL}_3(3)$ is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are not isomorphic. $\square$

Lemma 7. *There are exactly two isomorphism classes of amalgams of weak type (X, Y, Z) .*

Proof. First, as subgroups of X and Y which are isomorphic to Z are uniquely determined up to conjugacy, any two amalgams of weak type (X, Y, Z) in fact have the same type. We can therefore use Goldschmidt's Lemma to determine the number of isomorphism classes of amalgams of weak type (X, Y, Z) . We identify Z with a subgroup Z^* of X . By Lemma 4 (v), $N_{\mathrm{Aut}(X)}(Z) \cong \mathrm{Inn}(Z) \cong Z$ and $C_X(Z^*) = 1$. Therefore $A_X = \mathrm{Inn}(Z) \cong \mathrm{Inn}(Z^*)$ and similarly, $A_Y = \mathrm{Inn}(Z)$. As $|\mathrm{Aut}(Z)/\mathrm{Inn}(Z)| = 2$, by Lemma 4 (v), there are exactly two (A_X, A_Y) -double cosets in $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)$. Now the Goldschmidt Lemma implies that there are exactly two isomorphism classes of amalgams of weak type (X, Y, Z) . $\square$

By Lemma 7, if $\mathcal{B}$ is an amalgam of weak type (X, Y, Z) , then $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A}'$. Let F be the universal completion of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 8. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_Z &= \{a^3 = b^3 = [a, b]^3 = [a, [a, b]] = [b, [a, b]] = 1, \\ &\quad t^2 = u^2 = [t, u] = 1, a^u = a, b^u = b^{-1}, a^t = a^{-1}, b^t = b\}; \\ \mathcal{R}_X &= \{p^4 = q^4 = 1, p^2 = q^2 = t, q^p = q^{-1}, a^p = [a^{-1}, b], [a, b]^q = [b, a]a, \\ &\quad p^u = p^{-1}, p^b = pq, q^b = p, p^{b^2} = q\}; \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{R}_Y &= \{r^4 = s^4 = 1, r^2 = s^2 = u, s^r = s^{-1}, [a^{-1}, b]^r = b, [a, b]^s = b^{-1}[b, a], \\ &\quad r^t = r^{-1}, s^t = sr, r^a = rs, s^a = r, r^{a^2} = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F \cong \langle a, b, p, q, r, s, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{R}_Y, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$.

Proof. Let $F^* = \langle a, b, p, q, r, s, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{R}_Y, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$, $Z^* = \langle a, b, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$, $X^* = \langle a, b, p, q, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$, and $Y^* = \langle a, b, r, s, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_Y, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$. Define a map Θ from $\{a, b, p, q, r, s, t, u\}$ into $\mathrm{SL}_3(3)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ p &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Theta|_{\{a, b, p, q, t, u\}}$ extends to a homomorphism from X^* to X , $\Theta|_{\{a, b, r, s, t, u\}}$ extends to a homomorphism from Y^* to Y and $\Theta|_{\{a, b, t, u\}}$ extends to a homomorphism from Z^* to Z (recall that X, Y and Z are subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_3(3)$). Therefore X is a quotient of X^* , Y is a quotient of Y^* and Z is a quotient of Z^* . Coset enumeration using MAGMA [3], for example, gives the orders of X^*, Y^* and Z^* and so we get $X \cong X^*, Y \cong Y^*$ and $Z \cong Z^*$. The obvious identifications $\phi_1 : Z^* \rightarrow X^*, \phi_2 : Z^* \rightarrow Y^*$ are monomorphisms and so $\mathcal{A}^* = (X^*, Y^*, Z^*, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ is an amalgam of weak type (X, Y, Z) . Furthermore, the identifications $\psi_1 : X^* \rightarrow F^*, \psi_2 : Y^* \rightarrow F^*$ are monomorphisms and so F^* is a completion of $\mathcal{A}^*$. Moreover the map Θ defines embeddings of X^* and Y^* in $\mathrm{SL}_3(3)$ and so it follows that $\mathrm{SL}_3(3)$ is also a completion of $\mathcal{A}^*$. Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 therefore

imply that $\mathcal{A}^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$. Now using [13, Theorem 1, p.3] we deduce that F^* is the universal completion of $\mathcal{A}$. Hence $F \cong F^*$. $\square$

Because of Lemma 8 we may and do now take $F = \langle a, b, p, q, r, s, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{R}_Y, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$, $X = \langle a, b, p, q, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$, $Y = \langle a, b, r, s, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_Y, \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$ and $Z = \langle a, b, t, u \mid \mathcal{R}_Z \rangle$ identified as subgroups of F . We mention that the presentation of F in Lemma 8 is by no means an efficient presentation but instead has been intentionally constructed so that the subgroups $O_2(C_X(t)) = \langle p, q \rangle$ and $O_2(C_Y(u)) = \langle r, s \rangle$, both isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8, are accessible. Notice that the involutions t and u satisfy $O_3(X)t \in Z(X/O_3(X))$ and $O_3(Y)u \in Z(Y/O_3(Y))$.

Lemma 9. *Let $P^* = \langle p, q \rangle$, $R^* = \langle r, s \rangle^{pr}$. Then $P^* \cong R^* \cong Q_8$, $\langle b, u \rangle \cong \text{Sym}(3)$ normalizes both P^* and R^* and $\langle P^*, R^* \rangle \langle b, u \rangle \leq C_F(t)$. Moreover, $P^* \langle b, u \rangle \cong R^* \langle b, u \rangle \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$.*

Proof. We have already said that $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle r, s \rangle$ are isomorphic to Q_8 . That $\langle b, u \rangle \cong \text{Sym}(3)$ and normalizes P^* is evident from the relations in $\mathcal{R}_Z \cup \mathcal{R}_X$. Furthermore, $P^* \langle b, u \rangle = C_X(t) \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$ follows directly from the structure of X . Now using the relations $p^u = p^{-1}$ and $r^t = r^{-1}$, we get

$$u^{pr} = r^{-1}p^{-1}upr = r^{-1}u(p^{-1})^u pr = r^{-1}up^2r = r^{-1}utr = r^{-1}ur^t t = t.$$

Thus $t \in Z(R^*)$ and so $R^* \leq C_F(t)$. We now observe that $a^{pr} = [a^{-1}, b]^r = b$ and $(ut)^{pr} = u^{pr}t^{pr} = tt^r = tut = u$ follow from the relations in $\mathcal{R}_X \cup \mathcal{R}_Y \cup \mathcal{R}_Z$. Therefore $\langle R^*, b, u \rangle = \langle r, s, a, ut \rangle^{pr} \leq Y^{pr}$. Since $\langle a, ut \rangle$ normalizes $\langle r, s \rangle$, we see that $\langle b, u \rangle$ normalizes R^* . Finally, a calculation in Y proves that $\text{GL}_2(3) \cong \langle r, s, a, ut \rangle \cong \langle R^*, b, u \rangle$. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

We now work under the hypothesis of Theorem A. So we assume that G is a finite group generated by distinct subgroups A and B with $A \cong B \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$. Further we assume that $N_G(Z(S)) \leq A$ where $S \in \text{Syl}_3(A \cap B)$. We continue the notation from the last section. In particular, we recall that F is the universal completion of the amalgam $\mathcal{A}$. Set $C = A \cap B$ and let $S \in \text{Syl}_3(C)$.

Lemma 10. *The following hold:*

- (i) $S \in \text{Syl}_3(A) \cap \text{Syl}_3(B) \subseteq \text{Syl}_3(G)$;
- (ii) $C = N_A(S) = N_B(S) = N_G(S)$; and
- (iii) G is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Since $S \leq C \leq B$, there exists a Sylow 3-subgroup S_1 of B which contains S . Now $Z(S)$ is a characteristic subgroup of S and so is normal in $N_{S_1}(S)$. As $N_G(Z(S)) \leq A$, it follows that $N_{S_1}(S) \leq A \cap B = C$. Because $S \in \text{Syl}_3(C)$, we deduce that $S_1 = S \in \text{Syl}_3(B)$. As $A \cong B$, we have $S \in \text{Syl}_3(A)$. Since $N_G(S) \leq N_G(Z(S)) = N_A(S)$, we also have $S \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. Thus (i) holds.

Now $N_B(S) \leq N_G(Z(S)) = N_A(Z(S)) = N_A(S)$ by Lemma 4 (iv) and so $N_A(S) = N_B(S) \leq C$. Since $A \neq B$ and $N_A(S)$ is a maximal subgroup of A by Lemma 4 (iv) again, we have $C = N_A(S) = N_B(S)$.

Assume that $O_3(G) \neq 1$. Then $O_3(G) \leq S$ by (i). In particular, $O_3(G)$ is normal in A . Hence $O_3(G) = O_3(A)$ has order 9 by Lemma 4 (i) and so contains $Z(S)$. Since $C_G(O_3(G)) \leq N_G(Z(S)) \leq A$, we have $C_G(O_3(G)) = O_3(G)$ by Lemma 4 (i). It follows that $G/O_3(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(3)$ and so G has order at most 432. But then $A = B$, which is a contradiction. Thus $O_3(G) = 1$. So we have that G is a completion of an amalgam of weak type (X, Y, Z) and it therefore follows from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 that G is a completion of $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

By Lemma 10, there is a homomorphism $\Psi : F \rightarrow G$ such that $X\Psi = A$, $Y\Psi = B$ and $Z\Psi = C$. We will denote the images of elements of F under Ψ by boldface letters. Thus for example $a\Psi = \mathbf{a}$. Define $P = P^*\Psi = \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \rangle$, $R = R^*\Psi = \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \rangle^{\text{pr}}$ and $K = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$. Notice that, as Ψ restricted to both X and Y is a monomorphism, we have $PK \cong RK \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$ by Lemma 9. Set $Q_A = O_3(A)$ and $Q_B = O_3(B)$. Then $\mathbf{t}Q_A \in Z(A/Q_A)$. Let $H = C_G(\mathbf{t})$ and $\overline{H} = H/\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$.

Lemma 11. *We have $C_H(\mathbf{b}) = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t} \rangle$.*

Proof. We see directly from the presentation of F , that $b \in O_3(Y)$. It follows that $\mathbf{b} \in Q_B$ and consequently, using Lemma 4 (i), $\mathbf{b} \in Z(T)$ for some Sylow 3-subgroup T of B . Since $T \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$ by Lemma 10 (i), $C_G(\mathbf{b}) \leq N_G(\langle \mathbf{b} \rangle) \leq B$. Notice also that $t \in Y$ and so $\mathbf{t} \in B$. We now calculate that directly in Y to see that $C_G(\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t} \rangle) = C_B(\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t} \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t} \rangle$. $\square$

Set $W = \langle P, R \rangle$. By Lemma 9, $W \leq H$. Also, as K normalizes P and R , K normalizes W .

Lemma 12. *We have that W is a 2-group which is normalized by K . Furthermore, $C_W(\mathbf{b}) = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$.*

Proof. Lemma 11 implies that we may apply Theorem 3 to $\overline{H}$. If $\overline{H} \cong \text{PSL}_2(7)$, then as $Q_8 \cong R \leq H$, $H \cong \text{SL}_2(7)$. But then $\mathbf{t}$ is the unique involution in H contrary to $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in H$. So $\overline{H}$ contains a nilpotent normal subgroup $\overline{N}$ such that $\overline{H}/\overline{N} \cong \text{Alt}(5)$ or $\text{Sym}(3)$ or C_3 . Therefore H contains a nilpotent normal subgroup 3'-subgroup N containing $\mathbf{t}$ such that $C/N \cong \text{Alt}(5)$ or $\text{Sym}(3)$ or C_3 . Now we claim that P and R are both contained in N . By Lemma 9, $PK \cong \text{GL}_2(3)$ has two normal 3'-subgroups containing $\mathbf{t}$. One is $\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$ the other is $P \cong Q_8$. If $PK \cap N$ has order 2 then $PK/(PK \cap N) \cong PKN/N$ has order a multiple of 8 which is not possible. Therefore $PK \cap N = P$. By the same argument $R \leq N$ and since N is nilpotent, W is a 2-subgroup of N . That $C_W(\mathbf{b}) = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$ follows from Lemma 11. $\square$

Define $F_1 = \langle F \mid \mathcal{R}_1 \rangle$, $F_2 = \langle F \mid \mathcal{R}_2 \rangle$, $F_3 = \langle F \mid \mathcal{R}_3 \rangle$ and $F_4 = \langle F \mid \mathcal{R}_4 \rangle$ where, for $x = r^{pr}$ and $y = s^{pr}$, $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{[x, q][p, y] = 1, [q, x][xy, pq] = 1\}$, $\mathcal{R}_2 = \{[x, q][p, y] = t, [q, x][xy, pq] = 1\}$, $\mathcal{R}_3 = \{[x, q][p, y] = 1, [q, x][xy, pq] = t\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_4 = \{[x, q][p, y] = t, [q, x][xy, pq] = t\}$. The next lemma completes the proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 13. *$G \cong M_{12}$ or $\text{SL}_3(3)$.*

Proof. We first calculate in F . Set $x = r^{pr}$ and $y = s^{pr}$. The relation $p^{b^2} = q$ is in $\mathcal{R}_X$ and, using the equality $b = a^{pr}$ used in Lemma 9, we have $x^{b^2} = r^{prb^2} = r^{aprb} = r^{a^2pr} = (rs)^{apr} = (rsr)^{pr} = s^{pr} = y$. Thus in F , we have the equality

$$[x, q][p, y] = [x, p^{b^2}][p, x^{b^2}].$$

Similarly, using the relations in $\mathcal{R}_X \cup R_Y$, we have $(pq)^b = q$ and $x^b = xy$ and so

$$[q, x][xy, pq] = [(pq)^b, x][x^b, pq].$$

Applying Ψ , we now have $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}][\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{b^2}][\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}^{b^2}]$ and $[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{x}][\mathbf{xy}, \mathbf{pq}] = [(\mathbf{pq})^b, \mathbf{x}][\mathbf{x}^b, \mathbf{pq}]$. Since, by Lemma 12, $W = \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is a 2-group and $C_W(\mathbf{b}) = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$, we may apply Burnside's Lemma to $W/\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$ (with ζ as conjugation by $\mathbf{b}^2$), to get the following equality of cosets $\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{b^2}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]^b$ and $\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}^{b^2}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]^b$. Therefore

$$\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}][\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{b^2}][\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}^{b^2}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]^b[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]^b = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle.$$

Hence $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}][\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] \in \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$. Similarly,

$$\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{x}][\mathbf{xy}, \mathbf{pq}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[(\mathbf{pq})^b, \mathbf{x}][\mathbf{x}^b, \mathbf{pq}] = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle[\mathbf{pq}, \mathbf{x}]^{b^2}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{pq}]^{b^2} = \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$$

and so $[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{x}][\mathbf{xy}, \mathbf{pq}] \in \langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$. It follows that G is a quotient of one of the groups F_1, F_2, F_3 or F_4 . Coset enumeration, using for example MAGMA [3], gives $|F_1| = 95040$, $|F_2| = 1$, $|F_3| = 5616$ and $|F_4| = 1$. Since both M_{12} and $SL_3(3)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem A, they are both completions of $\mathcal{A}$ and hence are quotients of one of F_1 or F_3 . By comparing the orders of the groups we infer that $F_1 \cong M_{12}$ and $F_3 \cong SL_3(3)$. Hence $G \cong M_{12}$ or $G \cong SL_3(3)$ as claimed. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Suppose that $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a graph and G is a group of automorphisms of Γ . Then, for $\alpha \in V$, $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the set of neighbours of α , $G_\alpha = \text{Stab}_G(\alpha)$ and, for $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$, $G_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Stab}_G(\{\alpha, \beta\})$. For $H \leq G$, we denote the subgraph of Γ fixed by H by Γ^H .

Now suppose that G is a group and X and Y are subgroups of G . Define $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, X, Y)$ to be the bipartite graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma) = \{Xg | g \in G\} \cup \{Yg | g \in G\}$ and edge set $E(\Gamma) = \{\{Xg, Yh\} | Xg \cap Yh \neq \emptyset\}$. Then Γ is a graph which admits an action of G which is edge but not vertex transitive.

Lemma 14. *Suppose that $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a graph with no vertex of degree one and G is a group of automorphisms of Γ which acts transitively on E but not on V . Then, for $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ and $\theta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$,*

- (i) G has exactly two orbits αG and βG on V ;
- (ii) G_θ acts transitively on $\Gamma(\theta)$;
- (iii) $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta)$; and
- (iv) $G = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle$ if and only if Γ is connected.

Proof. (i) Since G acts transitively on E and every vertex is contained in an edge, G has at most two orbits on V . As G is not transitive on V , we deduce that the orbits of G on V are αG and βG .

(ii) Let $\mu, \tau \in \Gamma(\theta)$. Then, as G is transitive on E , there is an element of G moving $\{\theta, \mu\}$ to $\{\theta, \tau\}$. By (i), this element fixes θ . Hence G_θ acts transitively on $\Gamma(\theta)$.

(iii) We define a map $\Gamma(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta) \rightarrow \Gamma$ by $G_\theta h \mapsto \theta h$ for $\theta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $h \in G$. This map is well-defined on both the vertices and edges of $\Gamma(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta)$ and is easily checked to be a bijection.

(iv) This is [7, 2.4]. $\square$

Recall the graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 described in the introduction. Then, by Lemma 14 (iii), $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma(\text{PSL}_3(3), A_1, B_1)$ and $\Gamma_2 \cong \Gamma(\text{M}_{12}, A_2, B_2)$.

We now prove Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. Assume that G and $\Gamma = (V, E)$ are as in the statement of Theorem B. Let z be a non-trivial element of $O_3(G_\alpha)$. Then, by hypothesis, Γ^z is a tree with at least one edge. As $\alpha \in \Gamma^z$, we may pick an edge $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \Gamma^z$. Let $T_0 \in \text{Syl}_3(G_{\alpha\beta})$ with $z \in T_0$ and set $T = Z(T_0)$. Notice that either $z \in T$ or T_0 is non abelian and then $T_0 \in \text{Syl}_3(G_\alpha)$ follows from Lemma 4 (iii). In either case $T \cap O_3(G_\alpha) \neq 1$. Let z' be a non-trivial element of $T \cap O_3(G_\alpha)$. Then $\Gamma^T \subseteq \Gamma^{z'}$ which is a tree. Hence Γ^T is also a tree and Γ^T contains $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Let $H = N_G(T)$. Then H acts on Γ^T . Since H is finite and Γ^T is a tree, H fixes either a vertex or an edge of Γ^T . Using Lemma 14(i), we get that in either case H fixes a vertex θ in Γ^T . Therefore $N_{G_\alpha}(T) \leq H = N_{G_\theta}(T)$. If $|T| = 3$, then, as G_θ has extraspecial Sylow 3-subgroups of order 27, either $T = T_0 = \langle z \rangle$ or $|T_0| = 27$. Hence in either case we have that $T \leq O_3(G_\alpha)$ and $N_{G_\alpha}(T)$ has index 4 in G_α . Therefore H has index at most 4 in G_θ and, as H normalizes T which has order 3, we deduce that $H = N_{G_\alpha}(T)$. Suppose that $|T| > 3$, then $T_0 = T$ has order 9. It follows that $N_{G_\alpha}(T)$ contains a Sylow 3-subgroup T_1 of G_α . Since $T_1 \leq N_{G_\alpha}(T) \leq H \leq G_\theta$, we see that T_1 fixes the unique path in Γ^T from α to θ . If $\alpha \neq \theta$, then T_1 fixes an edge in Γ^T . However G is transitive on edges and so each edge stabilizer is conjugate in G . This contradicts $T = T_0$ being a Sylow 3-subgroup of $G_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore $\alpha = \theta$. In conclusion we have $H \leq G_\alpha$. Set $A = G_\alpha$, $B = G_\beta$ and $S = T_0$. Then, as Γ is connected, $G = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle$ by Lemma 14 (iv). Furthermore $A \neq B$ by Lemma 14 (ii), $A \cong B \cong \text{AGL}_2(3)$ and $N_G(Z(S)) = H \leq A$. Thus Theorem A is applicable and gives $G \cong \text{M}_{12}$ or $G \cong \text{SL}_3(3)$. It now follows that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_1 = \Gamma(\text{SL}_3(3), A_1, B_1)$ or $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_2 = \Gamma(\text{M}_{12}, A_2, B_2)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher. *Finite group theory*, volume 10 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2000.
- [2] Sarah Astill. 3-local identifications of some finite simple groups. MPhil(Qual) Thesis, <http://web.mat.bham.ac.uk/~astills/MPhil.pdf>, 2007.
- [3] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [4] W. Burnside. *Theory of groups of finite order*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1955. 2d ed.
- [5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [6] Walter Feit and John G. Thompson. Finite groups which contain a self-centralizing subgroup of order 3. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 21:185–197, 1962.
- [7] David M. Goldschmidt. Automorphisms of trivalent graphs. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 111(2):377–406, 1980.
- [8] Daniel Gorenstein. *Finite groups*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, second edition, 1980.
- [9] Graham Higman. *Odd Characterisations of Finite Simple Groups, Lecture Notes of University of Michigan*. Ann Arbor. 1968.
- [10] V. D. Mazurov. On groups that contain a self-centralizing subgroup of order 3. *Algebra Logika*, 42(1):51–64, 126, 2003.
- [11] Ulrich Meierfrankenfeld, Bernd Stellmacher, and Gernot Stroth. Finite groups of local characteristic p : an overview. In *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001)*, pages 155–192. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

- [12] Christopher Parker and Peter Rowley. Local characteristic p completions of weak BN -pairs. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 93(2):325–394, 2006.
- [13] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980. Translated from the French by John Stillwell.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, EDGBASTON, BIRMINGHAM B15 2TT,
UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `astills@maths.bham.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, EDGBASTON, BIRMINGHAM B15 2TT,
UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `c.w.parker@bham.ac.uk`